

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/658,296	09/10/2003	Jeffrey A. Banko	02280.002610.1	4210
	7590 01/19/200 CELLA HARPER &	EXAMINER		
30 ROCKEFEL		CORBIN, ARTHUR L		
NEW YORK, NY 10112			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1761	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
31 D	AYS	01/19/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	ď		
		10/658,296	BANKO ET AL.			
•	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	_		
		Arthur L. Corbin	1761			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Poperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	·					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 Ap	oril 2005.	•			
	_ "	action is non-final.				
3)	, _					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	•				
Dispositi	on of Claims		·			
4)⊠	Claim(s) 20-36 is/are pending in the application	1.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw					
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)[Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)⊠	Claim(s) 20-36 are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9)□ .	The specification is objected to by the Examiner					
	The drawing(s) filed on 10 September 2003 is/a		ed to by the Examiner			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the o		-			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction					
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa					
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ All b)	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Application	on No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori	ty documents have been receive	d in this National Stage			
	application from the International Bureau	* **				
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not received	d.			
Attachment	(s)	•				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Dat 5) Notice of Informal Pa				
	No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/658,296

Art Unit: 1761

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

 Claims 20-26 and 34-36, drawn to a method for producing a shell coating on a mass of thermally sensitive centers, classified in class 426, subclass 302.

Page 2

- II. Claims 27-33, drawn to an apparatus for coating a mass of thermally sensitive centers, classified in class 118, subclass 13.
- 2. The inventions are independent or distinct, each from the other because:
- 3. Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process, e.g. a process of coating a mass on only one surface thereof without forming a shell coating around said mass.
- 4. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the

Application/Control Number: 10/658,296

Art Unit: 1761

requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

- 6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 7. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: page 1 of the spec. fails to give the current status of parent SN 09/531,718.

Appropriate correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 10/658,296

Art Unit: 1761

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Arthur L. Corbin whose telephone number is (571) 272-1399. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 10:30 AM to 8:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton I. Cano, can be reached on (571) 272-1398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Arthur L Corbin Primary Examiner Art Unit 1761 Page 4

1-16-07